| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/559,637 | CHONDROUDIS ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | PATRICIA L. HAILEY | 1793 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: <u>Amended</u> | |
| (1) <u>PATRICIA L. HAILEY</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>PAUL FLEISCHUT</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>13 October 2009</u> | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: | cant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None | | |
| Claims discussed: 3, 19, 43, 49, 54,59, 64, 65, 69, 71, 73, 75, 77, and 79 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The above claims were amended via Examiner's Amendment to prepare the application for allowance. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand | he examiner will provide a writte e record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| /PATRICIA L. HAILEY/ | | |
| Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793 | (Applicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |